UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,876	08/18/2006	Jordi Tormo i Blasco	5000-0192PUS1	2828
	7590 10/30/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	MURRAY, JEFFREY H		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1624		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/589,876	BLASCO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624	

	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the conte	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	 owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: 5.  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 8.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6,7,9 and 10.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for alloware	de pecause.
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants have provided a second affidavit providing test data regarding the compounds of the current application. The test data focuses on the elected species of the current application and the closest reasonable species of the prior art. The data provided has yet to show that a direct comparison of all six homolog compounds of the prior art compared against both the species and/or the closest structurally similar compounds to the homolog compounds against the two specific fungi which were tested in the prior art, that of Plasmopara viticola and Phytophthora infestans. At present, applicants have tested three homolog compounds against Plasmopara viticola and two homolog compounds against Phytophthora infestans. Of these five different compounds, which are all reported to have good fungicidal action (ex 97% and 90%) in the prior art in one of the two fungi tested, applicants now state that three of these five compounds have no activity whatsoever. (100% fungal growth). It would be beneficial to see the remaining compounds to make a determination if indeed these homolog compounds lack the fungicidal activity which was previously stated. Applicants are invited to contact the examiner if they have further questions.